Research and Development

EPA-600/S7-84-095 Nov. 1984



### **ŞEPA**

## **Project Summary**

# Environmental Assessment of a Firetube Boiler Firing Coal/Oil/Water Mixtures

R. DeRosier

This report describes emission results from sampling of flue gas from a firetube boiler burning a coal/oil/water (COW) mixture and the same mixture with soda ash (sodium carbonate) (COW+SA) added to control SO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Measurements included continuous monitoring of flue gas emissions; source assessment sampling system (SASS) sampling of the flue gas with subsequent laboratory analysis of samples to give total flue gas organics in two boiling point ranges, specific quantitation of the semivolatile organic priority pollutant species, and flue gas concentrations of 73 trace elements; Method 5 sampling for total particulate; and controlled condensation system sampling for SO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>3</sub> emissions.

Flue gas SO<sub>2</sub> emissions decreased almost 99 percent with soda ash addition from 1,089 to 13.6 ppm (3 percent O<sub>2</sub>). NO<sub>x</sub> emissions decreased slightly from 477 to 427 ppm, while CO emissions increased significantly from an average of 25 to 426 ppm (all at 3 percent O<sub>2</sub>). Particulate loading at the boiler outlet almost doubled (from 1,970 to 3,715 mg/dscm) with the additive. The size distribution of particulate also shifted to a much smaller mean diameter. Total organic emissions increased from 6.7 to 13.1 mg/dscm. attributed to increased nonvolatile (C<sub>16+</sub>) organics. Volatile (C<sub>1</sub> to C<sub>6</sub>) organic emissions remained relatively constant; semivolatile organics (C7 to C<sub>16</sub>) were not detected in either test. Of the semivolatile organic priority pollutant species, only fluoranthene and phenanthrene were detected with the COW fuel at levels of 0.05 and 0.1

 $\mu$ g/dscm, respectively. Only phenanthrene was present in the COW+SA flue gas sample, though at significantly increased concentration (0.7  $\mu$ g/dscm).

This Project Summary was developed by EPA's Industrial Environmental Research Laboratory, Research Triangle Park, NC, to announce key findings of the research project that is fully documented in a separate report of the same title (see Project Report ordering information at back).

#### Introduction

Coal/oil mixtures (COM), coal/oil/ water (COW) mixtures, and coal/water slurries (CWS) have received attention in recent years as alternatives to oil fuels in oil-fired combustion equipment. These mixtures have the advantage of allowing an oil-fired boiler to reduce its oil requirements without completely redesigning the boiler. Thus, these fuels have a potential for application as near-term technologies for conversion of oilburning facilities to partial coal firing, thereby partially offsetting higher oil prices and frequently uncertain supply situations. This report gives results of an emissions assessment of a COW-fired firetube industrial boiler with and without the sorbent soda ash (sodium carbonate) added to the fuel to control SO2.

## **Boiler Description and Fuel Properties**

A Cleaver Brooks CB400-350 firetube boiler rated 4.1 MW (14 million Btu/hr) heat input was used for the tests. At rated capacity, the unit produces 1.5 kg/s (12,000 lb/hr) of saturated 1,030 kPa (150 psig) steam. The unit uses a four-

pass design, with tube cross-sectional area decreasing for each pass. To burn COW, the boiler was modified to include a new fuel feed system, enlarged nozzle, an additional combustion air blower, and soot blowers.

The COW and COW+SA mixtures were prepared in-line, prior to being fed to the boiler. Table 1 gives the fuel composition in terms of coal, oil, water and soda ash. Table 2 gives the ultimate analysis of the mixtures. Despite the reduced proportion of coal in the COW+SA, the sulfur and nitrogen contents are nearly equal to those of the COW fuel.

Table 1. Overall Fuel Composition<sup>a</sup>

	cow	COW+SA
Coal	42	38.6
Oil	42	39.2
Water	16	14.5
Soda ash	_	7.7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Percent by weight.

#### **Boiler Operation**

The test program called for flue gas emission measurements firing each of the two fuels. Table 3 summarizes the boiler operating conditions for the two

Emission Measurements and Results

cant SOx reduction.

Flue gas emissions measurements were made at the boiler outlet. The sampling and analysis procedures used conformed

tests. The addition of soda ash to the

COW resulted in several changes in

operating conditions. Most evident were

that the boiler tubes fouled rapidly, the

flame temperature decreased, and the O<sub>2</sub>

level at which CO emissions increased

markedly rose from 2.5 to 3.2 percent. As

a result of the rapid fouling during the

COW+SA test, the test had to be termi-

nated after only 3.5 hours; the COW test

ran for the full 6 hours required for a

complete emissions sampling program.

After the tests, 127 kg (281 lb) of ash

deposits were removed from the boiler,

which had been cleaned prior to the COW

test. Based on fuel composition, fuel

flowrate, and particulate emission rates,

20 percent of this ash was estimated to be

attributed to the COW test and 80 percent

to the COW+SA tests. Deposits of this

magnitude could preclude using sorbent

injection rates needed to obtain signifi-

Table 2. Ultimate Fuel Analyses<sup>a, b</sup>

cow	COW+SA
78.02	71.74
<b>8</b> .60	7. <b>83</b>
0.76	0.73
1.93	1.90
6.69	4.63
4.00	13.17
31,413	26,952
13,491	11,575
15.60	15.54
	78.02 8.60 0.76 1.93 6.69 4.00 31,413 13,491

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Percent by weight.

Table 3. Boiler Operating Conditions

	cow	COW+SA
Fuel flow, kg/hr	433.5	429.5
(lb/hr)	(955.9)	(947.1)
Boiler feedwater flow, 1/s	18.5	18.8
(gal./min)	(293)	(298)
Excess air, percent <sup>a</sup>	17	14
Temperatures <sup>b</sup> , °C (°F)		
Fuel	25	32
	(77)	(90)
Water return	82	80
	(179)	(176)
Water supply	124	119
,	(256)	(246)
Ambient air	23	24
	(74)	(75)
Stack	146	181 <sup>°</sup>
	(295)	(357)

Based on stack O2 measurement (percent, dry) and fuel analysis.

to a modified EPA Level 1 protocol. Flue gas measurements included:

- Continuous monitoring for NO<sub>x</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub>.
  - Source assessment sampling system (SASS) for particulate size fractionation, trace elements, and organic emissions.
  - Controlled condensation system (CCS) for SO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>3</sub>.
- EPA Method 5 for particulates.
- Grab sample for onsite analysis of C<sub>1</sub> to C<sub>6</sub> hydrocarbons by gas chromatography (GC).

Particulate mass emissions were measured by Adelphi University personnel using EPA Method 5. These results are also summarized in this report.

The analysis protocol included:

- Analyzing SASS train samples for 73 trace elements using spark source mass spectrometry (SSMS), supplemented by atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS).
- Analyzing SASS train samples for total organic content in two boiling point ranges: 100 to 300°C by total chromatographable organics (TCO) analysis and greater than 300°C by gravimetry (GRAV).
- Analyzing the SASS train sorbent module and particulate extracts for 58 semivolatile organic species including many of the POM compounds.
- Performing infrared (IR) spectrometry analysis of organic sample extracts.
- Performing liquid chromatography (LC) separation of total sample extracts with subsequent GRAV and IR analyses of LC fractions.
- Performing direct insertion probe low resolution mass spectrometry (LRMS) analysis of selected total sample and LC fraction extracts.
- Determining the alpha and beta radiometric activity of the SASS particulate.
- Performing mutagenicity and toxicity health effects bioassays on SASS sorbent module extract samples.

Table 4 summarizes emissions measured in the test program. Emissions are presented as nanograms per Joule heat input (ng/J) and micrograms per dry standard cubic meter of the flue gas (µg/dscm). As a measure of the relative potential significance of the emission levels for further analysis, an occupational exposure guideline concentration for each species is also noted in the table. The occupational exposure guideline noted is either the time-weighted-average Threshold Limit Value (TLV) o

Dry basis, except as noted.

cAs received.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Average over test run.

the 8-hr time-weighted-average exposure limit established by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). Only species emitted at levels exceeding 10 percent of their occupational exposure guidelines in either (or both) tests are noted in Table 4.

As noted in the table, several trace elements were present at significant levels in the boiler outlet flue gas for either or both tests. However, flue gas particulate accounts for the major fraction of these elements in the flue gas at this

location. Ultimate flue gas discharge concentrations would be significantly reduced after passage through the unit's particulate control device.

Emissions of  $SO_2$  decreased significantly using the soda ash additive; changes in emission levels of other species were less substantial. The data in Table 4 suggests that the most significant environmental effect of soda ash addition for  $SO_2$  control would be the attendant reduction in  $SO_2$  emissions.

Tables 5 and 6 summarize organic

emission results for the COW and COW+SA tests, respectively. The top portion of each table summarizes the total chromatographable organic (TCO) content and the GRAV organic content of the XAD-2 sorbent extract as it eluted into the seven LC fractions. The bottom portion of each table summarizes the organic categoreis identified by LRMS of the LC fractions with inferences from the IR spectroscopy. Organic matter collected in the XAD-2 sorbent accounted for approximately 100 and 60 percent ot total

Table 4. Emission Summary

	2014	Emission concentration COW test COW+SA test					
Compound	(mg/dscm)	test (ng/J)	COW+S. (mg/dscm)	A test (ng/J)	exposure guideline <sup>a</sup> (mg/m³)		
SO <sub>2</sub>	2,900	1,060	36	14	5.0		
SO <sub>3</sub>	20	0.74	_		1.0		
NO <sub>x</sub>	900	332	830	<i>330</i>	6.0		
co	29	11	490	195	55		
Particulate	25	, ,	400	755	00		
Method 5	_ b	_	3,720	1.460			
SASS	1.970	722	3.720	1,460	10°		
Total volatile	7,070	,	0,720	1,100	, 0		
organics (C₁ to C₅)	3.8	1.4	4.1	1.6	ø		
Total semivolatile	0.0	*. *	4.7	7.0			
organics (TCO)	<0.04	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	d		
Total nonvolatile	0.07	<b>\0.07</b>	₹0.02	νο.στ			
organics (GRAV)	2.9	1.1	9.0	3.5	d		
Trace Elements	2.0	,,,	J.0	5.5			
	<b>\1.1</b>	>0.20	0.40	0.10	0.0508		
Chromium	>1.1	>0.39	0.40	0.16	0.050°		
Barium	>1 2	>0.44	1.1	0.42	0.50		
Phosphorous	>20	>0 72	0.85	0.33	0.10		
Lead	0.78	0.29	0.93	0.37	0.050		
Vanadium	0.92	0.34	0.68	0.27	0.050		
Arsenic	0.16	0.059	<0.089	<0.035	0.010°		
Nickel	>1.1	>0.38	0.65	0.26	0.10		
Beryllium	0 012	0.0045	0.0028	0.0011	0.0020		
Iron	2.7	1.0	5.3	2.1	1.0		
Platinum	0.0016	0.00060	0.011	0.0042	0.0020		
Lithium	0.11	0.039	0.033	0.013	0.025		
Uranium	0.15	0.0 <b>5</b> 5	<0.068	<0.027	0.050°		
Fluorine	0.14	0.050	0.41	0.16	0.20°		
Copper	0.13	0.047	0.14	0.055	0.10°		
Zinc	>1.1	>0.38	0.28	0.11	1.0		
Chlorine	0.15	0.055	3.3	>1.3	3.0		
Silver	<0 00087	<0.00032	0.0095	0.0038	0.010		
Calcium	>1.8	>0.66	>1.1	>0.43	2.0		
Mercury	0.00047	0.00017	<0.085	<0.034	0.10		
Selenium	0.16	0 058	0.067	0.026	0.20		
Potassium	>1.5	>0.56	>1.2	>0.46	2.0 <sup>t</sup>		
Cobalt	0 029	0.011	<0.0097	<0.0038	0.050		
Sodium	>0.79	>0.29	>0.85	>0.34	2.0 <sup>t</sup>		
Magnesium	>2.0	>0.73	>2.3	>0.92	10		
Manganese	>1.1	>0.39	0.41	0.16	5.0¹		
Titanium	>2.0	>0.73	1.7	0.67	10°		
Thallium	0.020	0.0072	0.0085	0.0033	0.10		
Silicon	>1.4	>0.51	>1.0	>0.41	10°		
Yttrium	0.13	0.046	0.040	0.016	1.0		
Bromine	0.049	0.018	0.076	0.030	<i>0.70</i>		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Time-weighted-average TLV, unless noted.

Method 5 not performed for the COW test.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>For nuisance particulate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>No occupational exposure guideline applicable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>8-hr time-weighted-average OSHA exposure limit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ceiling limit.

Table 5. Organie	c Extract Su	mmary — C	OW XAD-2	EXTR	ACT			
	LC1	LC2	LC3	LC4	LC5	LC6	LC7	Total
Total organics, mg	14	12	10	<2	<2	6	3	45
TCO, mg	_	_	_			_		<1
GRAV, mg	14	12	10	<2	<2	6	3	45
		Assigned II	ntensity —	mg/ds	scm			
Category	LC1	LC2	LC3	LC4	LC5	LC6	LC7	Total
Aliphatic HC sa	100-0.59							0.59
Aldehydes, ketones, acids		100-0.50	100-0.42				100-0.13	1.05
Alchols						100-025		0.25

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Aliphatic background present in all LRMS samples.

Organic Extract Summary — COW+SA XAD-2 EXTRACT Table 6.

	LC1	LC2	LC3	LC4	LC5	LC6	LC7	Total
Total organics, mg	<1	6	9	2	<1	2	<1	19
TCO, mg	_			_		_	-	<1
GRAV, mg	<1	6	9	2	<1	2	<1	19
		Assigne	d Intensity	— mg/dsci	m			
Category	LC1	LC2	LC3	LC4	LC5	LC6	LC7	Total
Aliphatic HC s <sup>a</sup> Aldehydes, ketones, esters,		100-0.5	100-0.8					1.3
acids		100-0.5	100-0.7	100-0.3		100-0.3		1.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Aliphatic hydrocarbons background present in all LRMS samples.

Table 7. Compounds Detected in GC/MS Analysis and Their Concentrations<sup>a</sup>

	COW Test				
	10 μm and 3 μm cyclones	Filter and 1μm cyclone	XAD-2 extract	OMC <sup>b</sup>	Total
Fluorathene Penanthrene Other Polynuclears	<0.05 <0.05 <0.05	<0.07 <0.07 <0.07	0.05 0.1 <0.05	<0.04 <0.04 <0.04	0.05 0.1 <0.07
	со	W+SA Test			
	10 μm and 3 μm cyclones	Filter and 1 μm cyclone	XAD-2 extract	OMCª	Total
Phenanthrene Other Polynuclears	<0.3 <0.3	<0.3 <0.3	0.7 <0.2	<0.2 <0.2	0.7 <03

Particulate Radiometric Activity<sup>a, b</sup> Table 8.

	Alpha	Beta	Gamma
COW Test			
10 μm and 3 μm	$196.7 \pm 20.0$	$79.3 \pm 11.3$	183 ± 292
1 μm and filter	$224.1 \pm 47.7$	$128.8 \pm 38.6$	553 ± 300
COW+SA Test			
10 μm and 3 μm	<i>93.7</i> ± <i>8.5</i>	$40.5\pm14.7$	219 ± 293
1 μm and filter	$24.8 \pm 4.8$	$16.3 \pm 2.9$	100 ± 290

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>pCi/g sample.

Table 9. Radiometric Emissions<sup>a</sup>

	Emission Rate		
	pCi/s	pCi/g fuel	
COW test	817	6.78	
COW+SA test	314	<i>2 63</i>	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Alpha plus Beta only.

Table 10. Bioassay Results of XAD-2 **EXTRACTS** 

	Bioassay			
Test	Ames	CHO <sup>b</sup>		
COW test	M/H	M		
COW+SA test	Н	<m< td=""></m<>		

genicity.

SASS organics for the COW and COW+SA tests, respectively. The inferences from the LRMS and IR data are that the organic samples are primarily composed of aliphatic hydrocarbons with some oxygenated hydrocarbons. The only POMs detected were phenanthrene and fluoranthene in the COW samples and phenanthrene in the COW+SA sample, as shown in Table 7.

Radionuclide emissions were measured by analysis of the alpha and beta activities of the flyash particulate samples. The activities of the particulate and the total emission rates were given in Tables 8 and 9. Compared to controlled emissions from model coal-fired powerplants, these emission rates are 40 to 16 times higher for the COW and COW+SA tests, respectively. However, the particulate samples were taken from the flue gas upon leaving the boiler and before any control device; controlled emissions from such COW sources should be comparable to the model cases.

Bioassays (Ames mutagenicity and CHO cytotoxicity) were performed on the organic sorbent (XAD-2) module extracts from both tests. The results of these tests, summarized in Table 10, suggest that the material trapped in the XAD-2 resin is of moderate to high mutagenicity and moderate (or less) toxicity. These are common bioassay responses for combustion source XAD-2 extracts. Current studies are investigating if such bioassay responses are due to artifact components formed when combustion product gas containing NOx is passed over XAD-2 resin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>а</sup>µg/dscm. <sup>b</sup>Organic module condensate.

The  $\pm$  values are the 2 sigma Poisson standard deviation of the counting error.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> $M = moderate\ toxicity, \leq M = absolute\ toxicity,$ could not be determined due to limited sample size, but toxicity moderate or less.

R. DeRosier is with Acurex Corporation, Mountain View, CA 94039.

Robert E. Hall is the EPA Project Officer (see below).

The complete report consists of two volumes, entitled "Environmental Assessment of a Firetube Boiler Firing Coal/Oil/Water Mixtures:"

"Volume I. Technical Results," (Order No. PB 85-108082; Cost: \$13.00)

"Volume II. Data Supplement," (Order No. PB 85-108 090; Cost \$17.50)

The above reports will be available only from: (costs subject to change)

National Technical Information Service

5285 Port Royal Road

Springfield, VA 22161

Telephone: 703-487-4650

The EPA Project Officer can be contacted at:
Industrial Environmental Research Laboratory

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

United States Environmental Protection Agency Center for Environmental Research Information Cincinnati OH 45268 BULK RATE POSTAGE & FEES PAIL EPA. PERMIT No G-35

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300